Application of Real-Time PCR To Study Effects of Ammonium on Population Size of Ammonia-Oxidizing Bacteria in Soil
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Ammonium oxidation by autotrophic ammonia-oxidizing bacteria (AOB) is a key process in agricultural and natural ecosystems and has a large global impact. In the past, the ecology and physiology of AOB were not well understood because these organisms are notoriously difficult to culture. Recent applications of molecular techniques have advanced our knowledge of AOB, but the necessity of using PCR-based techniques has made quantitative measurements difficult. A quantitative real-time PCR assay targeting part of the ammonia-monoxygenase gene (amoA) was developed to estimate AOB population size in soil. This assay has a detection limit of 1.3 × 10^6 cells/g of dry soil. The effect of the ammonium concentration on AOB population density was measured in soil microcosms by applying 0, 1.5, or 7.5 mM ammonium sulfate. AOB population size and ammonium and nitrate concentrations were monitored for 28 days after (NH4)2SO4 application. AOB populations in amended treatments increased from an initial density of approximately 4 × 10^6 cells/g of dry soil to peak values (day 7) of 35 × 10^6 and 66 × 10^6 cells/g of dry soil in the 1.5 and 7.5 mM treatments, respectively. The population size of total bacteria (quantified by real-time PCR with a universal bacterial probe) remained between 0.7 × 10^6 and 2.2 × 10^6 cells/g of soil, regardless of the ammonia concentration. A fertilization experiment was conducted in a tomato field plot to test whether the changes in AOB density observed in microcosms could also be detected in the field. AOB population size increased from 8.9 × 10^6 to 38.0 × 10^6 cells/g of soil by day 39. Generation times were 28 and 52 h in the 1.5 and 7.5 mM treatments, respectively, in the microcosm experiment and 373 h in the ammonium treatment in the field study. Estimated oxidation rates per cell ranged initially from 0.5 to 25.0 fmol of NH4+ h^{-1} cell^{-1} and decreased with time in both microcosms and the field. Growth yields were 5.6 × 10^5, 17.5 × 10^5, and 1.7 × 10^6 cells/mol of NH4+ in the 1.5 and 7.5 mM microcosm treatments and the field study, respectively. In a second field experiment, AOB population size was significantly greater in annually fertilized versus unfertilized soil, even though the last ammonium application occurred 8 months prior to measurement, suggesting a long-term effect of ammonium fertilization on AOB population size.

Ammonium oxidation by autotrophic ammonia-oxidizing bacteria (AOB) is a key process in agricultural and natural ecosystems, with a large global impact. The product of this process, nitrite, is immediately oxidized by nitrite-oxidizing bacteria to nitrate, a nitrogen form susceptible to leaching. Nitrogen leaching can lead to groundwater pollution and surface and groundwater eutrophication. Nitrous oxide and nitric oxide, by-products of ammonia oxidation, contribute to the greenhouse effect and ozone layer depletion. On a local scale, loss of nitrate to groundwater and nitrous oxide and nitric oxide to the atmosphere reduces the amount of nitrogen available to plants in soil.

Until recently, the ecology of AOB communities largely has been extrapolated from pure-culture studies on *Nitrosomonas europaea*, the AOB most easily grown in laboratory cultures (30). Recent advances in DNA-based techniques for direct whole microbial community analysis have revealed that *Nitrospira*, rather than *Nitrosomonas*, bacteria are ubiquitous in many environments (nutrient-poor and nutrient-enriched water and soil) and are the dominant AOB in soil (14, 18, 24; M. A. Bruns, J. Stephen, J. I. Prosser, and E. A. Paul, Abstr. 96th Gen. Meet. Am. Soc. Microbiol., abstr. N-101, p. 339, 1996). In the last decade, it has become possible to study terrestrial AOB communities without culturing by using PCR primers to specifically amplify 16S rRNA sequences from AOB cells (34). Also, PCR primers have been designed to target amoA, a functional gene coding for the active subunit of ammonia monoxygenase (31), a key enzyme in ammonia oxidation by AOB.

The need to quantify microbial populations is a pressing one in many areas of microbial ecology. In recent years, fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) techniques were developed to detect AOB in different environments (2, 6, 7, 17). However FISH is time-consuming and difficult to use in soil and requires physiologically active cells. More recently, Hesselslo et al. (17) quantified soil AOB by separating AOB cells from soil by density gradient centrifugation, incubating the cells on a membrane for microcolony formation, and then staining and counting the cells. However, this approach may underestimate AOB density because it is not possible to separate all AOB from soil particles by centrifugation and not all cells can form microcolonies under laboratory incubation conditions.
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Quantitative PCR methods, such as competitive and real-time PCR assays, are now being applied in investigations of AOB. Competitive PCR quantification includes a competitive sequence that serves as an internal control in each reaction. Competitive PCR requires time- and resource-consuming post-PCR analyses (4). Also, the primers used may not have the same affinity for the target and competitive sequences, which can lead to erroneous results. Nevertheless, competitive PCR has been successfully used to quantify AOB in groundwater (21), wastewater (H. M. Dionisi, G. Harms, A. Layton, S. Hawkins, I. Gregory, A. Meyers, K. Robinson, and G. Sayler, Abstr. 102nd Gen. Meet. Am. Soc. Microbiol., abstr. Q-320, p. 433, 2002), compost (23), activated sludge (3), and soil (24, 28, 33).

The quantitative real-time PCR method uses a fluorescence-labeled probe and measures fluorescence emitted continuously during the amplification reaction. The method does not require the use of a competitive sequence in the reaction, and it does not require post-PCR manipulation. In contrast to end point detection PCR, quantitative real-time PCR calculations are based on the initial exponential phase of the PCR. More detail on the theoretical background of quantitative real-time PCR is described by Heid et al. (15). Real-time PCR has been successfully used to quantify AOB in ground-water (21), wastewater (H. M. Dionisi, G. Harms, A. Layton, S. Hawkins, I. Gregory, A. Meyers, K. Robinson, and G. Sayler, Abstr. 102nd Gen. Meet. Am. Soc. Microbiol., abstr. Q-320, p. 433, 2002), compost (23), activated sludge (3), and soil (24, 28, 33).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Sequence (5’-3’)</th>
<th>Position*</th>
<th>Concn (nM)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primer A189</td>
<td>GGHGACTGGGGAYTTCTGG</td>
<td>151–168</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primer amoA-2R</td>
<td>CCTCGGSAAAAGCTTCTTC</td>
<td>802–820</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probe A337</td>
<td>TTCTACTGGTGTGCTRCACCTACCCCATCAACT</td>
<td>337–367</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primer amoA-2R-TG</td>
<td>CCCCCTGGAAAGCCTTTCTTC</td>
<td>800–820</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Position is based on the open reading frame of amoA of N. europaea.

To test whether PCR inhibitors were present in DNA samples extracted from soil, DNA samples from pure N. europaea cultures were mixed with an equal volume of soil DNA. Results of triplicate amoA real-time PCRs with and without addition of soil DNA were compared.

**DGGE with amoA primers.** For denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) analysis, a GC clamp was attached to primer AmoA-1F (5'-GGGGTTTCTACTGGTGTGCT-3') (31). Reverse primer amoA-2R-TG (5'-CCCCCTCTGAAAGCCTTTCTTC-3') was designed to be a perfect match for the N. europaea amoA-specific sequence. PCRs were performed in a PE thermocycler with a 1:10 dilution of the DNA extracts as a template in a total volume of 50 μl with Ex Taq DNA polymerase (Takara Shuzo, Shiga, Japan) in the supplied buffer. After initial denaturation for 3 min at 95°C, amplification proceeded for 35 cycles consisting of 1 min at 95°C, 1 min at 55°C, and 45 s at 72°C, followed by a final 5-min extension at 72°C. amoA PCR products were subjected to DGGE as described previously (26) and visualized by UV transillumination after staining with SYBR green. Excised bands were cloned as described previously (20). Selected clones were sequenced with an ABI Prism automatic sequencer (Applied Biosystems) at Davis Sequencing Inc., Davis, Calif. Sequence identities were determined by BLAST and RDP analyses.

**Extraction efficiency.** To test efficiency of DNA extraction from soil, a known number of amoA genes was added to soil. The number of N. europaea cells in liquid medium was determined after 4 8-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) staining with a fluorescence microscope (4), and the amoA concentration was calculated on the basis of the assumption that N. europaea has two amoA copies per cell (5, 27). A total of 1.5 × 10^6 to 1.0 × 10^8 copy numbers of amoA was added to 0.5 mg of soil and vortexed for 10 s, and then DNA was extracted in triplicate as described above. The results of the real-time PCR assays with and without target DNA addition were compared.

**TagMan primers and probe design.** The real-time quantitative PCR method, also known as TaqMan PCR, requires an internal probe that hybridizes to the target sequence. This probe is labeled by two fluorescent dyes: a reporter and a quencher. The two fluorescent dyes interact whenever the probe is intact, causing the quencher to quench the reporter’s fluorescence. During PCR amplification, the reporter dye quenches the fluorescence of the probe, so the quencher is no longer associated with the reporter, and fluorescence is emitted. Fluorescence intensity is correlated with the number of accumulated PCR amplicons. Copy numbers of the amoA gene were quantified with the probe and primer sequences shown in Table 1. The A189 forward primer was designed by Holmes et al. (19). The amoA-2R’ reverse primer, which is a modification of primer AmoA-2R designed by Rothhauwe et al. (31), and the A337 probe were designed in this study with Primer Express 1.0 software (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, Calif.) by aligning the amoA sequences of 14 different strains obtained from GenBank. The number of total bacterial DNA was estimated by real-time PCR with 16S rDNA-based primers and a probe as described previously (35). TaqMan probes were dually labeled with the fluorescent dyes 6-carboxyfluorescein and 6-carboxytetramethyl rhodamine at the 5’ and 3’ ends, respectively. All of the primer and probes used in this study were synthesized by BioSource International (Camarillo, Calif.).

**Real-time PCR.** Real-time PCR was performed in a 25-μl reaction mixture that consisted of 5 ng of template DNA, 12.5 μl of TaqMan Universal Master Mix (a 2X-concentrated mixture of AmpliTaq Gold DNA polymerase, uracil-N-glycosylase, deoxyuridine triphosphates with UTP, passive reference dye, and

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Bacterial cultures.** N. europaea ATCC 19718 was purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) and cultured in ATCC liquid medium 221. Strains of Nitrosospira multiformis, Nitrosospira tenuis, and Nitrosococcus sp. strain NpAV were obtained from Jeanette Norton, Utah State University, and cultured in ATCC liquid medium 929. All strains were cultured at 28°C in the dark with periodic pH adjustment.

**DNA extraction from soil.** DNA was extracted from 0.5 g (dry weight) of soil by using a Bio 101 FastDNA SPIN kit (for soil) as described by the manufacturer (Bio 101, Inc., Vista, Calif.). Extracted DNA was dissolved in 50 μl of TE buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA [pH 7.5]) and quantified by measuring its absorbance at 260 nm with a spectrophotometer. The quality of the extracted DNA was analyzed by electrophoresis on a 1.0% agarose gel.

**TABLE 1. PCR primers and probe for the amoA gene**
optimized buffer ([Applied Biosystems]), and primers and probe at the concentrations shown in Table 1. MicroAmp optical caps and tubes ([Applied Biosys-
tems) were used. The PCR protocol for amoA quantification was as follows: 2 min at 50°C, 10 min at 95°C, and then 40 cycles consisting of 45 s at 95°C, 1 min at 55°C, and 1 min at 72°C. The PCR protocol for bacterial 16S rDNA quanti-
ation was as follows: 2 min at 50°C, 10 min at 95°C, and then 40 cycles consisting of 15 s at 95°C and 1 min at 56°C. Reactions were carried out in an ABI Prism 7700 sequence detector ([Applied Biosystems]). The fluorescence signal was normal-
ized by dividing the reporter dye (6-carboxyfluorescein) emission by the 

primary reference dye emission. The parameter C P (threshold cycle) is the 
cycle number, and N Q (amplification efficiency) is a shorthand for the loga-
ithmic phase curve. The threshold was defined as 10 times the standard 
deviation around the average intensity of background fluorescence from non-
template controls. For signals giving C P values of less than 15, the calculation of the 
threshold was adjusted to 3 to 10 cycles. The greater the amount of initial 
template DNA, the earlier the fluorescence crosses the threshold and the smaller the 
C P value will be. Data were analyzed with Sequence Detector software, 
version 1.7.

Optimization of primer concentrations. Primer concentrations were optimized 
in accordance with the Applied Biosystems guidelines. Template DNA and 
TaqMan probe concentrations were not changed, while PCR primer concentra-
tions were systematically varied in all pairwise combinations (150, 300, 600, 
and 900 nM) for both the forward and reverse primers. The combination of 300 nM 
forward primer and 900 nM reverse primer was determined to be optimum and 
used in all reactions.

Standard curve for amoA quantification. An external standard curve that shows the relationship between amoA copy numbers and C P values was gener-
ated with serial dilutions of a known copy number of the amoA gene. PCR 
primers A189 and amoA-2R were used to amplify the amoA gene from the total 
DNA extracted from N. europaea ATCC 19718. The PCR product was cloned with a 
TOPO TA cloning kit (Invitrogen). Plasmid DNA was extracted with a 
Plasmid Mini Kit (Qiagen), and the plasmid concentration (nanograms per 
microliter) was measured with a spectrophotometer. Since the sequences of the 
vector and PCR insert are known (5), we calculated the copy numbers of 
amoA directly from the concentration of extracted plasmid DNA.

The standard curve for bacterial 16S rDNA was made with genomic DNA 
extracted from Escherichia coli K-12. The 16S rDNA copy number was estimated 
on the basis of the genome size (4.64 Mb) and seven copies of the 16S rDNA 
gene (27). We 

quantiﬁed the amoA and the 16S rDNA in soil samples. Extracted DNA was dilute to 1 ng/µl, and 5 µl of this dilution was used in the 25-µl reaction 
mixtures of the real-time PCR. Triplicate PCR samples for each soil sample 
were used to calculate amoA copy numbers. AOB population size was estimated by 
dividing the amoA copy number by 2.5 on the basis of the knowledge that each 
AOB cell carries two or three nearly identical copies of the 16S rDNA. The 
AOB and total bacterial population sizes presented in this paper were not 
corrected for efficiency of extraction from soil.

Microcosm experiment: soil sampling. In November 2001, soil cores from 
depths of 0 to 15 cm were taken from an agricultural plot farmed in accordance 
with organic practices (plot identification no. 8-8) at the Long-Term Research on 
Agricultural Systems (LTRAS) facility (http://LTRAS.ucdavis.edu/). A tomato 
crop had been harvested in September, and no vegetation was present at the time 
of sampling. Soil at this site is classiﬁed as a mixture of Rincon silty clay loam and 
Yolo silt loam (12). The soil was air dried, passed through a 2-mm sieve, and 
stored at 4°C.

Microcosm preparation. Soil preparation was conducted in a cold (4°C) room 
to prevent ammonia oxidation. Ammonium sulfate was added to the soil as 
described by Venterea and Rolston (36) to minimize spatial stratification of 
ammonium sulfate. Soil was ﬂushed with an aqueous solution designed to ap-
proximate soil solution concentrations for a Sacramento Valley agricultural soil 
(38) and amended with different concentrations of (NH4)2SO4. For the 0 mM 
ammonium sulfate treatment, the ﬂushing solution contained 2.5 mM CaCl2, 2.5 
mM CaSO4, 1.25 mM MgSO4, and 8.125 mM K2SO4. For the 1.5 mM ammo-
nium sulfate treatment, the ﬂushing solution contained 2.5 mM CaCl2, 2.5 mM 
CaSO4, 1.25 mM MgSO4, and 8.125 mM K2SO4. For the 7.5 mM 
ammonium sulfate treatment, the ﬂushing solution contained 2.5 mM CaCl2, 2.5 
mM CaSO4, 1.25 mM MgSO4, and 8.125 mM K2SO4. For the 15 mM ammo-
nium sulfate treatment, the ﬂushing solution contained 2.5 mM CaCl2, 2.5 mM 
CaSO4, 1.25 mM MgSO4, and 8.125 mM K2SO4.

The AOB and total bacterial population sizes presented in this paper were not 
corrected for efﬁciency of extraction from soil.

Ammonium and nitrate concentration measurements in soil samples. A 
total of 200 mL of 2 M KCl was added to 60 g of microcosm soil, shaken on a 
reciprocating shaker, and centrifuged at 4,100 × g for 5 min at 5°C. The super-
natant was collected and stored at −20°C until analysis. Ammonium and nitrate-
plus-nitrite concentrations (referred to as nitrate concentrations in this study) in the 
extracts were determined colorimetrically with a Lachat Quick Chem II Flow 
Injection Analyzer (Lachat 8000; Zellweger Analytical, Milwaukee, Wis.).

Field experiment 1. The effect of ammonium fertilization on AOB population 
size was measured in an organically managed corn (Zea mays-tomato (Lycopersi-
cum esculentum) rotation plot at LTRAS (plot identification no. 2-3). The 
study was conducted after the tomato harvest, from 15 November to 24 Decem-
ber 2002. Two fertilized and two unfertilized control plots, each measuring 0.5 m 
by 2 m, were randomly chosen. A total of 40 g of ammonium sulfate per plot (400 
kg/ha) was applied to the fertilized treatments and mixed thoroughly into the top 
15 cm of soil with a shovel. Soils were sampled immediately before and 1, 3, 7, 
13, and 39 days after fertilization to quantify ammonium and nitrate concen-
trations and AOB population size. Three soil cores from the 0- to 15-cm depth were 
taken at three different locations in each plot. Soil samples were air dried for 
approximately 24 h, sieved (2-mm maximum particle size), and then mixed 
thoroughly. Immediately after sieving, the soil was extracted with a KCl solution 
(described below) and a second soil subsample was frozen for further DNA 
analysis.

Field experiment 2. The long-term effect of ammonium fertilization on AOB 
population studies was conducted by collecting soil samples from six plots located in 
conventionally managed wheat-fallow plots at LTRAS in November 2002, sev-
eral months after wheat was harvested and at least 8 months after the last 
fertilizer application. At LTRAS, treatments were randomly assigned to plots. 
Three of the plots had, since 1993, annually received preplanting fertilization 
(112 kg of N [as ammonium nitrate]/ha in November) and in-season fertilization 
(56 kg of N [as ammonium nitrate]/ha in March) during the wheat year of the 
rotation. These plots were compared to three unfertilized plots. Soil samples 
were collected and analyzed monthly. Immediately after sieving, the soil was extracted with a KCl solution 
(described below) and a second soil subsample was frozen for further DNA 
analysis.

Ammonium and nitrate concentrations and AOB population sizes were aver-
aged among locations within each plot. Means and standard errors were calcu-
lated with the following sample sizes: n = 4 in the microcosm experiment, n = 2 
in field experiment 1, and n = 3 in field experiment 2.

Kinetic parameters. The generation time, growth yield, and oxidation rate per 
AOB cell were calculated for the 1.5 and 7.5 mM ammonium treatments of the 
microcosm experiment and for the ammonium treatment in field experiment 1.

The generation time (g) is the time required for a population to double in size. 
This parameter was calculated from the logarithmic growth equation 
Nt = N0 × e GT , where N0 is the population size at time t, Nt is the population size at 
time zero, and μ is the growth rate constant. The μ (assumed constant) was calculated 
from the slope of AOB population increase during the period of exponential 
growth with the generation time in the log phase, or g = In (2)/μ, an expression 
that is derived from the logarithmic-growth equation.

The rate of oxidation per cell was estimated by dividing the rate of ammonium
disappearance between two sampling time points (assuming all ammonium consumption is by AOB) by the mean population size during the time period. The growth yield was estimated by dividing the population growth rate by the ammonium disappearance rate between sampling time points. These estimates make the assumption that rates of net nitrogen mineralization are equal to net immobilization rates, which is reasonable given the soil type and its previous agricultural management.

RESULTS

Real-time PCR assay. (i) TaqMan probe design and specificity. The newly designed TaqMan probe perfectly matched the target amoA sequence of N. europaea ATCC 19718, N. multiformis, N. tenuis, and Nitrospirae sp. strain NpAV, on the other hand, had sequences containing 1, 3, and 1 mismatches with the probe, respectively (as shown in Table 2). To test the hypothesis that the designed TaqMan probe could also detect strains with mismatches in their target sequences, we compared calibration curves generated with dilutions of genomic DNAs from N. europaea, N. tenuis, and Nitrospirae sp. strain NpAV. There was no significant difference in the slopes and intercepts for N. europaea and Nitrospirae sp. strain NpAV (one mismatch, P = 0.75) over a DNA concentration range of 10 to 10^7 fmol. The slope and intercept for N. tenuis (three mismatches with the probe) standard curve were significantly different from those of the other two nitrosirases (P = 0.02).

To determine whether N. tenuis (or other strains having three mismatches with probe A337) was a member of the AOB community in our soil, we performed DGGE analysis of the soil communities with amoA-specific primers. Analysis of soil microcosms with amoA primers (amoA-2R-GT, targeting N. tenuis) revealed three distinctive bands (data not shown). Sequence analysis indicated that the three sequences were most similar to an amoA gene from an unidentified strain, Nitrospirae sp. strain Nsp1, and Nitrospirae sp. strain NpAV (Gen-Bank accession numbers AY445617 to AY445619). TaqMan probe A337 is a 100% match for the amoA genes from those three uncultured bacteria. The specificity of our real-time PCR assay was confirmed by sequence analysis of PCR products derived from soil DNA samples. Each yielded the expected bacterial amoA sequence (data not shown).

(ii) Standard curve and detection limit of amoA real-time PCR assay. A standard curve relating the Ct value and AOB was generated with a 10-fold dilution series of a plasmid containing the amoA gene. There was a strong linear (R^2 = 0.99) inverse relationship between Ct and the log_{10} number of amoA copies over 7 orders of magnitude (Fig. 1). The equation describing the relationship is C_t = -3.93 × log_{10} (amoA) + 47.8. The detection limit was 98.3 copies per 5 μl of DNA sample used in a reaction mixture, corresponding to 3.9 × 10^4 cells/g of dry soil, with the assumption that the DNA extraction efficiency was 100%.

The standard curve based on plasmid DNA (Fig. 1) was compared to a genomic DNA standard with extracted N. europaea cells (no mismatch with the TaqMan probe). The slopes and intercepts of the calibration curves for the genomic and plasmid-based standards agreed within 0.8%.

(iii) DNA extraction efficiency and effect of PCR inhibitors in soil. A known number of N. europaea cells was added to soil, and the AOB population size was measured by real-time PCR. The calculated population size, based on DAPI counts, was 1.5 × 10^8 ± 1.0 × 10^8 AOB cells/g of soil. The measured population size was 7.2 × 10^7 ± 2.0 × 10^7 AOB cells/g of soil. Therefore, the extraction efficiency of the Bio 101 kit per gram of soil was 31.0%. On the basis of this extraction efficiency
obtained, the detection limit of the real-time PCR assay was calculated as $1.3 \times 10^5$ AOB cells/g of soil.

To test for the potential presence of PCR inhibitors in soil DNA samples, the amoA copy numbers in pure-culture DNA, with and without addition of soil DNA, were quantified by real-time PCR (Table 3). There was no significant difference in the quantified amoA genes between the samples with and without soil DNA addition (two-tailed t test, $n = 3$, $t = 1.99$, $P = 0.12$). We concluded, therefore, that soil PCR inhibitors did not interfere with the real-time PCR assay.

(iv) Real-time PCR standard curve for bacterial 16S rDNA quantification. A calibration curve was generated for bacterial 16S rDNAs, similar to what was described for amoA quantification. Standards ranged from $10^{-2}$ to $10^{-8}$ genome copies of E. coli. The calibration curve for 16S rDNA copies was linear ($R^2 = 0.99$) over 7 orders of magnitude ($C_T = -3.53 \times \log_{10} [16S \text{ rRNA}] + 42.4$; data not shown).

Microcosm experiment. (i) Ammonium and nitrate concentrations. Ammonium concentration changes were measured after adding ammonium sulfate (0, 1.5, and 7.5 mM) to the laboratory microcosms (Fig. 2A). Two weeks after addition, ammonium decreased to below the detection limit in the 1.5 mM treatment. In the 7.5 mM treatment, ammonium decreased to approximately 60% of the added concentration by the end of 1 month. Nitrate concentrations remained at <3.5 $\mu$g of N/g of dry soil and changed little over time in the control soils (Fig. 2B), whereas in the 1.5 mM treatment, nitrate concentrations increased substantially up to day 14 and then decreased to a little over half the peak value after 1 month. In the 7.5 mM treatment, the nitrate concentration increased over the 1-month incubation period.

(ii) AOB and total bacterial population sizes estimated by real-time PCR assay. In the absence of ammonium addition, the AOB population density changed little with time (Fig. 2C). In the 1.5 mM ammonium sulfate treatment, after 1 week, the AOB population density was almost eight times as high as the initial density of $(4.0 \pm 0.95) \times 10^6$ cells/g of dry soil. In the 7.5 mM treatment, the AOB population density was 11 times higher after 1 week. AOB population sizes were not significantly different among treatments on day 0 (one-way analysis of variance [ANOVA], $P = 0.12$) but were significantly different on day 28 (one-way ANOVA, $P = 0.02$).

The total bacterial density did not increase following ammonium addition (Fig. 2D) at either concentration and remained between $0.7 \times 10^9$ and $2.2 \times 10^9$ copies/g of dry soil at all ammonium treatment levels (one-way ANOVA, $P = 0.10$ at day 0 and $P = 0.10$ at day 28).

Field experiment 1. (i) Ammonium and nitrate concentrations. A field experiment was conducted to determine whether relationships between AOB densities and ammonium oxidation observed in the microcosms could be detected in the field under similar conditions. In the control plots, ammonium concentrations were less than 3.0 $\mu$g of N/g of dry soil and did not fluctuate much over time (Fig. 3A). In the ammonium treatment, the ammonium concentration was $267.5 \pm 22.0$ $\mu$g of N/g of dry soil ($n = 2$) at day 3 and decreased to $21.2 \pm 1.2$ $\mu$g of N/g of dry soil by day 39. The ammonium concentration was lower,

---

**TABLE 3. Quantiﬁcation of amoA copy numbers with and without addition of soil DNA**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PCR template</th>
<th>amoA copy no./PCR (mean ± SE, $n = 3$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Soil DNA</td>
<td>$3.9 \times 10^2 \pm 32.2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrosomonas europaea DNA</td>
<td>$3.0 \times 10^5 \pm 3.7 \times 10^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. europaea DNA + soil DNA</td>
<td>$3.6 \times 10^5 \pm 2.7 \times 10^4$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**FIG. 2. Changes in ammonium concentration, nitrate concentration, AOB population size, and total bacterial population size after application of ammonium to microcosms.** Dashed, dotted, and solid lines are 0, 1.5, and 7.5 mM ammonium sulfate treatments, respectively.
but not statistically significantly so, on day 1 than on day 3 (one-way ANOVA, $P = 0.22$).

The nitrate concentrations in the control plots slowly decreased by 9.9 μg of N/g of dry soil by day 39 (Fig. 3B). In the ammonium-treated plots, the nitrate concentrations doubled by day 39 and were significantly different from those of the control plots (one-way ANOVA, $P = 0.005$). It should be noted that in field experiment 1, day 0 samples were taken immediately before ammonium application, whereas day 0 samples in the microcosm experiment were collected immediately after ammonium application.

(ii) AOB population size. In the control plots, the AOB population size remained less than 9.0 x 10^6 cells/g of dry soil and did not change much over time (Fig. 3C). In the ammonium-treated plots, the AOB population size increased fourfold by day 39 and was significantly greater than that in control soils (one-way ANOVA, $P = 0.014$).

Field experiment 2. Ammonium, nitrate, and AOB concentrations were measured in unfertilized and fertilized plots that had received fertilizer 8 and 12 months before soil sampling (Table 4). The AOB population size and nitrate concentrations were significantly higher in fertilized than in unfertilized plots (two-tailed $t$ test, $P = 0.007$ and 0.002, respectively), while the difference in ammonium concentration was not statistically significant (two-tailed $t$ test, $P = 0.17$).

Kinetic parameters. AOB growth generation time was calculated for the 1.5 and 7.5 mM microcosm treatments and for the field experiment 1 ammonium treatment (Table 5). The specific growth rate ($\mu$) was calculated for days 0 to 3 in the 1.5 mM treatment, days 0 to 7 in the 7.5 mM treatment, and days 1 to 39 in the ammonium treatment. The curves yielded $R^2$ values of 0.99, 0.94, and 0.97 and calculated generation times of 28.0, 51.7, and 372.7 h in the 1.5 mM microcosm, the 7.5 mM microcosm, and field experiment 1, respectively. All calculations assumed no cell death (e.g., due to predation by protozoa) during the experiments. The rates of oxidation per cell (based on ammonium disappearance) and growth yields were calculated (Table 5). Growth yields were 5.6 x 10^6, 17.5 x 10^6, and 1.7 x 10^6 cells/μmol of NH₄⁺ in the 1.5 and 7.5 mM microcosm treatments and the field study ammonium treatment, respectively. If cell death occurred under field conditions, these growth yield measurements would be underestimated.

DISCUSSION

This study is the first to develop and apply real-time PCR analysis to quantify amoA genes in soil and compare their dynamics with ammonium and nitrite concentrations. We measured net rather than gross (e.g., by stable-isotope pool dilution methods) rates of ammonium disappearance and nitrate production. The strong relationships observed among AOB densities, ammonium disappearance, and nitrate accumulation suggested that rates of net nitrogen mineralization and immobilization were similar and that denitrification was minimal in this well-aerated agricultural soil. Since the real-time PCR method allowed processing of large samples numbers, we measured population sizes at multiple time points and in response to different ammonium concentrations. This permitted us to estimate kinetic parameters describing ammonium oxidation

<p>| TABLE 4. Ammonium and nitrate concentrations and AOB population sizes in fertilized and unfertilized plots |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Agricultural soil                   | Mean N concn   | Mean AOB pop    |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(μg/g of dry soil) ± SE</th>
<th>(no. of cells/g of dry soil) ± SE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ammonium</td>
<td>3.5 ± 1.0</td>
<td>(5.0 ± 1.8) x 10^6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrate</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>(1.5 ± 1.0) x 10^7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonium</td>
<td>5.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>(5.0 ± 1.8) x 10^6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrate</td>
<td>5.3 ± 0.4</td>
<td>(1.5 ± 1.0) x 10^7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unfertilized plots</td>
<td>3.5 ± 1.0</td>
<td>(5.0 ± 1.8) x 10^6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fertilized plots^d</td>
<td>5.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>(5.0 ± 1.8) x 10^6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^a Samples were taken in November 2002.
^b Fertilized plots received ammonium nitrate in November 2001 and March 2002.
PCR assay targeting the 16S rDNA and reported 10^5 cells/g of dry soil. Phillips and coworkers used a competitive Real-time PCR Nitrosospira Acridine orange direct counting, pure culture, cells/g of dry soil in microcosms and comparison of our data with those of previous studies. (based on various assumptions) and provided a basis for comparison of our data with those of previous studies.

**AOB population size in soil.** Under unfertilized conditions, the mean AOB population sizes were 7.1 × 10^6 and 5.5 × 10^6 cells/g of dry soil in microcosms and field samples, respectively. Our AOB population size estimates were several orders of magnitude greater than AOB population sizes in agricultural soils reported on the basis of most-probable-number (MPN) methods (13, 25, 32) and FISH with the microcolony method (17). This discrepancy was not surprising because the MPN method and the FISH-microcolony method count only culturable cells active under MPN assay conditions or on the membrane used for microcolony formation. In contrast, the real-time PCR method counted both active and dormant cells. The AOB population sizes in our study are comparable to those measured in agricultural soils (16, 24) by other PCR-based methods. With a real-time PCR assay targeting the AOB 16S rDNA, Hermansson and Lindgren (16) found 10^6 to 10^7 cells/g of dry soil. Mendum et al. (24) used a competitive PCR assay targeting both amoA and the 16S rDNA to find 10^5 to 10^6 gene copies/g of dry soil. Phillips and coworkers used a competitive PCR assay targeting the 16S rDNA and reported 10^6 cells of AOB/g of dry soil in fertilized soils (29). These results indicate a large, and as yet unexplained, variation in AOB population size among different agricultural soils.

**Microcosm experiment.** The 0 mM ammonium sulfate treatment demonstrated that soil pretreatment by leaching reduced ammonium to <1 μg of N/g of dry soil and nitrate to <2 μg of N/g of dry soil and thus decreased interference from background levels of these ions. Very low nitrate levels were produced during incubation of the 0 mM treatment, indicating low net N mineralization and nitrification.

In the 1.5 mM treatment, AOB population growth stopped by the time most of the added ammonium was depleted. However, in the 7.5 mM microcosm, the AOB population growth reached a plateau around 6.0 × 10^7 cells/g of dry soil even though there was still a high ammonium concentration (330 μg of N/g of dry soil) remaining. In this case, factors other than ammonium concentration may have been limiting AOB population growth. Because microcosms were aerated every other day, oxygen was presumably not limiting. The soil pH may have decreased during incubation and limited population growth in this treatment. Unfortunately, pH was not measured in this study.

The total bacterial population size remained the same regardless of the ammonium concentration added. The proportion of AOB to total bacteria initially was 0.39%. After incubation in microcosms, this percentage increased to peak values (at day 7) of 0.6, 3.1, and 5.7% in the 0, 1.5, and 7.5 mM treatment, respectively. Thus, the absence of change in the total population size is not surprising given that AOB, even when enriched by ammonium, were still a small fraction of the total bacterial density and because the lack of carbon input prevented substantial growth of any heterotrophic bacteria.

**Field experiments.** Nitrate concentrations were far lower in the field than in microcosm experiments and were lower than expected given the amount of added ammonium that disappeared (Fig. 3B). The low nitrate concentrations may have resulted from denitrification during the processing of the field soils required before KCl extraction. In the microcosm experiment, soil samples were not air dried or sieved because nitrate and ammonium concentrations were homogenized by leaching. The AOB population growth in the field ammonium treatment was first detected on day 7, contrasting with the microcosm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method, environment, and organism</th>
<th>Generation time (h)</th>
<th>Oxidation rate (fmol of NH₄⁺/h/cell)</th>
<th>Yield (10^6 cells/μmol)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fluorescent-antibody staining, pure culture</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrosospira</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrosolobus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acridine orange direct counting, pure culture, <em>Nitrospira</em> spp.</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>58</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>63</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>138</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real-time PCR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microcosms, indigenous AOB, 1.5 mM NH₄⁺</td>
<td>28 (0–3)</td>
<td>15.6 (0–1)</td>
<td>5.6 (0–7)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0 (1–3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.8 (3–7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.4 (7–14)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microcosms, indigenous AOB, 7.5 mM NH₄⁺</td>
<td>51.7 (0–7)</td>
<td>8.5 (0–1)</td>
<td>17.5 (0–7)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.5 (1–3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.1 (3–7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2 (7–14)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5 (14–28)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil, indigenous AOB, field expt 1</td>
<td>372.7 (1–39)</td>
<td>7.2 (3–7)</td>
<td>1.7 (3–39)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.3 (7–13)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5 (13–39)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values in parentheses are experiment durations in days.
data that showed growth beginning immediately after ammonium addition. Mendum et al. (24) reported no AOB growth within 3 days after ammonium application. One possible explanation for the longer lag period observed in the field was that ammonium may not have been as uniformly distributed and available to AOB in the field as in the laboratory soil. Moisture content, pH, and competition with heterotrophic bacteria for ammonium also may have differed between the microcosms and the field and contributed to differences in growth dynamics.

In the second field experiment, we examined the long-term effects of ammonium fertilizer on the AOB population and found a significant difference in AOB population size between fertilized and unfertilized plots. These differences, measured at least 8 months after the last fertilizer application, showed that differences in AOB population density were maintained independently of recent fertilizer application. The fertilized soil had received ammonium inputs every other year since 1993, whereas unfertilized plots had not been fertilized for 10 years. Differences in AOB may have been due, in part, to higher crop productivity and soil organic matter levels in the fertilized soils. In the unfertilized soils, AOB were likely maintained on ammonium that mineralized from soil organic matter.

**Kinetic parameters.** Kinetic parameters obtained in this study were compared with values obtained from pure-culture studies on *Nitrosospira* spp. (Table 5) (1, 22). Calculated AOB generation times in the 1.5 and 7.5 mM treatments were similar and oxidation per cell would be higher than expected if the AOB yields were, surprisingly, of the same order of magnitude as population sizes.

In the second experiment, we examined the long-term effect of ammonium fertilizer on the AOB population and found a significant difference in AOB population size between fertilized and unfertilized plots. These differences, measured at least 8 months after the last fertilizer application, showed that differences in AOB population density were maintained independently of recent fertilizer application. The fertilized soil had received ammonium inputs every other year since 1993, whereas unfertilized plots had not been fertilized for 10 years. Differences in AOB may have been due, in part, to higher crop productivity and soil organic matter levels in the fertilized soils. In the unfertilized soils, AOB were likely maintained on ammonium that mineralized from soil organic matter.

**Future applications.** The effects of agricultural management practices such as irrigation, tillage, cover cropping, and winter fallow on AOB population size can now be studied with the real-time PCR method developed in this study. The real-time PCR method permits rapid analysis of multiple samples and is thus useful in studies of population dynamics. The nitrogen cycle and reducing nitrogen losses from agroecosystems may be better managed with knowledge of AOB population dynamics. If the rate of ammonium oxidation per cell is relatively constant, as appears to be the case in this study, it may be possible to estimate ammonium oxidation rates from AOB population sizes.
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